



WWW.AZCLIMATECHANGE.US

**SUMMARY OF TELECONFERENCE MEETING #4
CROSS CUTTING ISSUES TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP
ARIZONA CLIMATE CHANGE ADVISORY GROUP
March 30, 2006**

Attendance:

1. Workgroup members:
Sandy Bahr, Sierra Club
CV Mathai for Ed Fox, APS
Kate Widland for Richard Hayslip, Salt River Project
Steve Owens, Arizona Dept of Environmental Quality
Jeff Schlegel, SWEEP
2. ADEQ Staff:
Kurt Maurer and Joni Alexander
3. Center for Climate Strategies (CCS):
Tom Peterson and Ken Colburn

Background documents:

(all posted at: www.azclimatechange.us/template.cfm?FrontID=4846)

1. Notice & Agenda
2. Powerpoint presentation for meeting
3. Summary of March 10, 2006 CC TWG call
4. GHG Reporting Design Options Matrix

Discussion items and key issues:

1. Tom Peterson of CCS reviewed the portion of the Powerpoint presentation having to do with state GHG emission reduction goals. He noted that Slides #3 (summarizing other states' growth and goals) and #4 (depicting global GHG targets under Kyoto for many nations) were reinstated into the presentation, as requested by a member at the March 17 CCAG meeting. In addition, a new slide, #9, was created in response to Dick Hayslip's

request at the CCAG meeting to see a graph depicting total GHG growth level cuts over a longer period (to 2040-2050).

2. Tom asked members on the call for any additional guidance they have with respect to the goals and timelines.
 - a. Sandy Bahr noted the importance of early actions having more significance on reducing GHG emissions over the long term, and Jeff Schlegel added that he personally would like to see more aggressive reductions by 2020. Sandy also noted that the objective should be to have real reductions in emissions, rather than simply a slowed rate of growth in future emissions. Steve Owens observed that Slides #5 and #9 portray the abrupt and longer-term reduction scenarios. Slide #5 shows a return to 2000 levels by 2020; Slide #9 shows a return to 2005 levels by 2040.
 - b. Jeff Schlegel commented that he would like to see the results of the data analysis that is currently being done on the proposed options to get a better sense for what achievable goals could be. Steve Owens said this will be the subject of more focused discussion at the May 16 CCAG meeting.
 - c. Jeff also asked that the graphs use a dual y-axis, to show percentage emission reduction as well as actual tons of CO₂e reduced.
 - d. Jeff also asked that CCS concentrate its analysis efforts in a specific sector (Energy Supply) and present the net reduction of the sector's proposed options. He said this would help members to see the relationship between goals and the strategies being considered for inclusion in the report to the Governor. Tom said the CCS team currently plans to evaluate the largest impact policies first, and bring the results to the next CC TWG call as planned.
3. Ken Colburn of CCS continued a discussion of design options for state GHG reporting mechanisms (see Matrix). He left off at the seventh design element (Scope of Emissions Covered) when the allotted time for the call expired.

Next steps:

The next CC TWG call will be Friday, April 14, from 9:30 a.m. to 11 a.m. Mountain Time. The call will lead off with continued discussion of reporting and registry design options.