



WWW.AZCLIMATECHANGE.US

**SUMMARY OF TELECONFERENCE MEETING #1
ENERGY SUPPLY TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP
ARIZONA CLIMATE CHANGE ADVISORY GROUP
SEPTEMBER 22, 2005**

Attendance:

1. Workgroup members:
Sandy Bahr – Sierra Club
Roger Clark – Grand Canyon Trust
Cosimo De Masi – Tucson Electric Power
Kara Downey – Arizona Electric Power Cooperative
Barbara Lockwood (for Ed Fox) – Pinnacle West/APS
Kate Widland (for Dick Hayslip) – Salt River Project
Renz Jennings – Private citizen
Vince Murphy – Waste Management of Arizona
2. ADEQ: Kurt Maurer
3. Center for Climate Strategies (CCS): Eric Williams (TWG Facilitator); Tom Peterson
4. Other Attendees: Jeff Yockey, Tucson Electric Power

Background documents:

(all posted at <http://www.azclimatechange.us/template.cfm?FrontID=4673>)

1. Agenda
2. Powerpoint presentation for meeting
3. ES GHG Reduction Opportunities (policy matrix)

Discussion items and key issues:

1. CCS briefly summarized the second ES TWG call; TWG members agreed with the summary.

2. CCS led group members through the matrix of options with the goal of getting the group to assign priorities to each option. CCS assumed that all options are considered to be high priority by default and asked TWG members to identify which options can be taken out of the high priority list.
 - a. Barbara Lockwood asked for an estimation of the number of options that could be reasonably examined. Eric Williams said he did not want to limit the group's thinking, but thought that perhaps a dozen would be a good starting point. For analysis, individual options can also be combined into packages.
 - b. As discussion progressed, it became apparent that there was disagreement on priority for several options, such as the set of emissions policy options including utility sector GHG cap and trade.
 - c. Tom clarified that it is not necessary for the TWG to reach agreement at this early stage on the merits of any particular option. The goal is to help the CCAG understand different perspectives of group members, to characterize objections and identify barriers to agreement that can possibly be resolved.
3. CCS briefly conveyed the results of the requested revision to the GHG emissions forecast in the electricity sector, which was to explicitly account for growth in peak demand. Discussion of results was postponed until the next call.

Next steps and agreements:

1. To be determined after the Sept. 29 CCAG meeting. The intent is to set a regular schedule of calls possibly on Thursdays of the second and fourth weeks of the month.