



WWW.AZCLIMATECHANGE.US

**REVISED CCS POLICY MATRIX, PREPARED AS INPUT TO TELECONFERENCE MEETING #2
RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP (RCI TWG)
ARIZONA CLIMATE CHANGE ADVISORY GROUP
SEPTEMBER 15, 2005**

GUIDE TO NOTATIONS

<u>Indicative Potential Emission Reductions* -</u>	<u>Indicative cost (\$/tCO₂e)</u>
High (H): Potentially capable of saving at least 1 Million Metric Tons CO ₂ e per year by 2020 (~1% of current AZ emissions)	High (H): \$50/tCO ₂ e or above
Medium (M): Potentially capable of saving from 0.1 to 1 Million Metric Tons CO ₂ e per year by 2020	Medium (M): \$5-50/tCO ₂ e
Low (L): Unlikely to yield more than 0.1 Million Metric Tons CO ₂ e per year by 2020	Low (L): \$5/tCO ₂ e or lower
Uncertain (?): Too many unknowns to hazard a guess	Negative (Neg): option yields net benefits
<i>* Several measures overlap in terms of the emissions they would reduce. They may target the same emissions sources, but using different implementation pathways. The estimates shown here assume that measures would be implemented independently from, or instead, of other measures.</i>	

		Priority: High, Med, Low	Implement. Level & Lead	Potential Emission Reductions	Indicative Cost (\$/tCO2 removed)	Co-benefits, Feasibility Considerations and Other Factors
1.	Energy Efficiency Programs, Funds, and Goals					
1.1 (old 1.1)	Utility Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs for electricity, natural gas, propane, fuel oil		Utility and/or contractor or ESCO	H	Neg/Low	Co-benefits include transmission/distribution system costs reduction. Significant potential overlap with many other options.
1.2 (old 1.2)	Energy Efficiency Funds (e.g. Public Benefit Funds) administered by State agency, utility, or 3rd party (e.g. Energy Trust)		State, regulator	H	Neg/Low	[As above]
1.3 (old 1.2)	Energy Efficiency Requirements (e.g. Utility Savings Goals or Energy Portfolio Standards)		State, utility, regulator	H	Neg/Low	[As above]
1.4 (old 1.4)	Market transformation and technology development programs		Federal, State, local	H	Neg/Low	

		Priority: High, Med, Low	Implement. Level & Lead	Potential Emission Reductions	Indicative Cost (\$/tCO ₂ removed)	Co-benefits, Feasibility Considerations and Other Factors
2.	Appliance standards					
2.1 (old 1.3)	Expansion of State-level Appliance Efficiency Standards		State, regional	L/H	Neg/Low	
2.2 (old 1.3)	Support for Federal-level Appliance Efficiency Standards		State, regional	L/H	Neg/Low	Potential overlap with previous option
3	Buildings					
3.1 (old 2.2.3)	Improved Building Codes		Local	H	Neg/Low	Potential to also yield water savings, comfort/air quality improvements
3.2 (old 3.2.1)	Promotion and Incentives for Improved Design and Construction (e.g. LEED, green buildings)		State, local	M/H	Neg/Low	Potential overlap with previous option [co-benefits as above]
3.3 (old 2.2.2)	Contractor and Builder Education (e.g.: Proper sizing of HVAC, duct sealing)		State, local	M	Neg/Low	[As above]
3.4 (old 3.2.4)	Training and Enforcement of Building Codes		State, local	M	Neg/Low	[As above]
3.5 (old 3.2.7)	Building Commissioning and Recommissioning, including energy tracking and benchmarking		State, local	M	Neg/Low	[As above]
3.6 (old 3.2.8)	Energy Management Training / Training of Building Operators		State, local	M	Neg/Low	[As above]
3.7 (old 3.2.5)	Increased use of blended cement (substituting fly ash or other pozzolans for clinker reduces CO ₂ emissions)		State, local, industry	L/M	Neg/Low	May provide modest avoided waste disposal co-benefit, depending on standard practice
3.8	Reduction of emissions from diesel engines used in new construction developments		Local, builders	L	Low?	

		Priority: High, Med, Low	Implement. Level & Lead	Potential Emission Reductions	Indicative Cost (\$/tCO2 removed)	Co-benefits, Feasibility Considerations and Other Factors
4	Education and Outreach					
4.1 (old 2.1.1)	Consumer education programs		State, local	?	Neg/Low	Potential contribution difficult to estimate
4.2 (old 2.3.3)	Introduce in School Curriculum		State, local	?	Neg/Low	[As above]
5	Pricing and Purchasing					
5.1 (old 1.7)	Green Power Purchasing		Utilities	?	M/H	Interaction with RPS option.
5.2 (old 4.1.2)	Bulk Purchasing Programs for Energy Efficiency or other Equipment (Public or Private sector)		Local housing agencies, others?	L/M	Neg/Low	May interact with utility programs.
5.3 (old 1.10)	Net-metering policies		State, local, utilities	L/M	Neg/Low	
5.4 (old 1.11)	Time of Use Rates		State, utilities	L	Neg/Low	Significant utility system co-benefits

6	Technology Specific Policies					
6.1 (old 1.8)	Incentives for Renewable Energy Applications (Solar roofs, water heaters, etc.)		State, utilities	H	M/H	Programs could help to lower capital and installation costs
6.2 (old 1.9)	Clean Combined Heat and Power		State, utilities, industries	H	Neg-M	Cost dependent on price of natural gas; interconnection an issue; utility system co-benefits.
6.3 (old 3.1.1)	Promotion and Tax or Other Incentives (e.g EnergyStar, credits for solar hot water)		State, utilities	H	Neg/Low	Interaction with appliance standards, utility programs.
6.4 (old 2.1.4)	Appliance Recycling/Pick-Up Programs		State, local, utilities	L	Neg/Low	Long-term impact uncertain
6.5 (old 2.2.5)	White Roofs, Rooftop Gardens, and Landscaping (including Shade Tree Programs)		Local??	M/H	Neg/Low	Results likely to vary substantially with design
6.6 (old 2.1.2)	Focus on specific end-uses/technologies: window AC units, lighting, water heating, plug loads, networked PC management, power supplies, motors, pumps, boilers, etc). Consumer products programs, may include incentives, retailer training, marketing and promotion, education, etc		State, local, utilities	(Individually L to H)	Neg/Low	Interaction with appliance standards, utility programs.

		Priority: High, Med, Low	Implement. Level & Lead	Potential Emission Reductions	Indicative Cost (\$/tCO2 removed)	Co-benefits, Feasibility Considerations and Other Factors
7	Non-Energy Emissions (HFCs, PFCs, SF6, CO2 process Emissions)					
7.1 (old 4.3.1)	Participation in Voluntary Industry-Government Partnerships		State, industries	?	Neg/Low	
7.2 (old 4.3.1)	Process Changes/ Optimization		State, industries	?	?	Impact, cost likely highly process-specific.
7.3 (old 4.3.3)	Leak Reduction /Capture, Recovery and Recycling of Process Gases		State, industries	M	?	
7.4 (old 4.3.4)	Use of Alternative Gases (other HFCs, hydrocarbon coolants, etc.)		Federal, state, industries	M/H	L/M	
7.5 (old 4.2.4)	Cement Industry: use of alternative fuels		State, industries	?	L/M	
8	GHG Emissions-Specific Goals and Policies					
8.1 (old 2.3.1)	Support for switching to less carbon-intensive fuels (coal and oil to natural gas or biomass)		State, utilities	M/H	Neg/M	Cost dependent on relative fuel prices
8.2 (old 4.2.1)	Industry-Specific Emissions Cap and Trade Programs		State, industries	M/H	L/M	Highly dependent on specification of trading systems
8.3	Voluntary emissions targets		Industries	?	?	
8.4 (old 1.6)	Negotiated Emissions or Energy Savings Agreements		?	?	?	

		Priority: High, Med, Low	Implement. Level & Lead	Potential Emission Reductions	Indicative Cost (\$/tCO2 removed)	Co-benefits, Feasibility Considerations and Other Factors
9	Other					
9.1 (old 1.5)	Government Agency Requirements and Goals (including procurement)		Federal, state, local	?	Neg/Low	Potential overlap with other options
9.2 (old 2.2.1)	Focus on specific market segments: existing homes (weatherization), new construction, apartments, low income, etc.		State, local, utilities	M/H	Neg/Low	Potential overlap with other options
9.3 (old 3.3.3)	Reinvestment Fund		?	?	Neg/Low	Potential overlap with other options
9.4 (old 3.3.4)	Municipal Energy Management		Local	?	?	Potential overlap with other options
9.5 (old 4.1.5)	Focus on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)		State, local, utilities	?	?	Potential overlap with other options
9.6 (old 4.2.3)	Industrial ecology/ by-product synergy		?	?	?	
	(Additional option, if/as suggested)					